

(a)

**Self-empowerment, self-efficacy and mindfulness.
Does multidisciplinary pain therapy inhibit or support?**

(b)

Michael Hartmann MD, FIPP, MBA; Jutta Kirchner PhD, FSP
Pain Clinic Zurich

(c)

Michael Hartmann MD, FIPP
Pain Clinic Zurich
Wallisellenstrasse 301a
8050 Zurich
Switzerland

Phone: 0041-44-3777020

Fax: 0041 44 3777030

michael.hartmann@schmerz-zuerich.ch

Abstract

Michael Hartmann MD, FIPP, MBA and Jutta Kirchner PhD, FSP

Pain Clinic Zurich

Zurich

Switzerland

michael.hartmann@schmerz-zuerich.ch

Objectives:

Today's pain management ought to be executed in a multidisciplinary and - even better - an interdisciplinary setting. Unfortunately, due to a very different discourse and different beliefs this may lead to non-acceptance of the other party's competence and might even be judged as an offence to one's own practice. To achieve an increase of the mutual appreciation of the somatic and the psychological perspective we address:

- the imperative need of pain-treatment for the patient who is in despair;
- the reduced interference of interventional pain management with the patient's self-empowerment compared to medical therapy with long-lasting pharmaceuticals;
- the increase of self-efficacy once the patient's "active" mode is (re-)installed; and
- methods of accepting some chronic pain using tools to remove the focus on pain from the patient's attention.

Methods:

In order to also consider pain as an expression of suffering an integral part of life, we have consulted philosophical sources. In order to evaluate long-term, and in particular drug therapy, pain-specific self-efficacy and mindfulness techniques, we conducted a database search (pubmed).

Results:

Chronic pain and suffering have to be discussed not in an utilitarian framework but in a phenomenological context inspired by Schopenhauer, Wittgenstein, Jaspers, van Buitendijk, Scheler and Merleau-Ponty. There is an imperative need of pain-treatment for the patient who is in despair. No literature could be identified on whether or not interventional pain management implies reduced interference on patient's self-empowerment compared to medical therapy with long-lasting pharmaceuticals. Studies on self-efficacy and those on methods of accepting some chronic pain will be discussed.

Discussion:

Chronic Pain – as all suffering – develops from being essential to being existential. To a certain extent, it is a contingent part of life, and it might even be meaningful. A multidisciplinary team therapy should generally be targeted at lowering the pain level to create valences for the patients, to subsequently enable them to take control again.

Key words:

Interdisciplinary pain management; interventional pain management; self-empowerment; self-efficacy; mindfulness.

Self-empowerment, self-efficacy and mindfulness. Does multidisciplinary pain therapy inhibit or support?

Introduction:

Today's pain management ought to be executed in a multidisciplinary and - even better - an interdisciplinary setting. Unfortunately, even in established pain clinics, therapists representing the somatic perspective and those representing the psychological perspective often live and practice in "different" worlds, due to a very different discourse, different types of education and different beliefs. This may lead to non-acceptance of the other party's competence and might even be judged as an offence to one's own practice. We see a need in publications that highlight the synergistic effects of combining somatic and psychological therapies in pain management and thus may increase the mutual appreciation of both disciplines.

To achieve this we address:

- the imperative need of pain-treatment for the patient who is in despair;
- the reduced interference of interventional pain management with the patient's self-empowerment compared to medical therapy with long-lasting pharmaceuticals;
- the increase of self-efficacy once the patient's "active" mode is (re-)installed; and
- methods of accepting some chronic pain using tools to remove the focus on pain from the patient's attention.

Materials and Methods:

In order to also consider pain as an expression of suffering an integral part of life, we have consulted philosophical sources. In order to evaluate long-term, and in particular drug therapy, pain-specific self-efficacy and mindfulness techniques, we conducted a database search (pubmed).

Results:

Chronic pain and suffering have to be discussed not in an utilitarian framework but in a phenomenological context inspired by Schopenhauer, Wittgenstein, Jaspers, van Buitendijk, Scheler and Merleau-Ponty. There is an imperative need of pain-treatment for the patient who is in despair. No literature could be identified on whether or not interventional pain management implies reduced interference on patient's self-empowerment compared to medical therapy with long-lasting pharmaceuticals. Studies on self-efficacy and on methods of accepting some chronic pain to a certain extent will be discussed.

Discussion:

Should we treat pain? Is it permissible? Should the individual do everything possible to lead a life free of pain and suffering?

Where am I as a therapist regarding Epicurus: "This is what it is all about: a life without pain and without fear." Similarly, Escrivá states: "Let us bless pain. Love pain. Sanctify pain [...] – Glorify pain!"

No one can be held responsible for their pain. However, the attempt of taking responsibility for one's pain is one of the most effective strategies in dealing with it¹.

Pain, or chronic pain, is an illness sui generis², with the unknown end and the cause, which often cannot be clearly determined, often comprise severe factors³. It is an existential threat that leaves nothing unaffected⁴, resists any habituation and affirmation, narrows down time and space to endanger social relationships and language and will over time become normal⁵. However, accepting the restructuring of the habitual body ("what I can generally do"⁶) as a new normal is rather challenging.

Reactions and strategies:

The experience of pain is always subjective and uncatchable⁷, even though its evaluative assessment is culturally characterized⁸ and communication about it is a social fact⁹. Uninvolved persons may wonder where pain goes when it appears in undulating intensity or when it is reported with a smile ("...always has a severity of 8 to 10 of 10"). May alexithymia – the inability of patients with chronic pain to express their feelings – be a reaction to their inability to share the actual pain experience with the environment without others despairing and refusing to understand or cooperate?¹⁰ Why can everything that is important and potentially lost be the cause of pain?¹¹ Other persons and therapists are hardly able to imagine the diverse dimensions that the vulnerability of the individual in pain may take on¹².

However, access to another person – and to oneself – can be found through a newly connoted shared suffering – empathy and sympathy¹³. Then, treatment of the mind and body will be targeted at supporting patients in managing their “new normal” and creating conditions in which pain can be reduced. Since chronic pain significantly inhibits the individual's motivation, self-empowerment and activity through the often-experienced despair, pain therapy should generally be targeted at lowering the pain level to create valences for the patients, to subsequently enable them to take control again via self-empowerment. This is also true when merely pain in the body - and not necessarily suffering in the perceiving body -, can be treated¹⁴.

For this, the entire armamentarium that is available to us today must be offered and used – regardless of specialist discipline.

Risk of undermining patients autonomy:

Medical interventions, i.e., therapies, that address identified somatic causes and neurophysiological/neuropathological targets, generally run the risk of undermining patients' autonomy and their participation in the process. This is all the more sustained the longer such therapies last. Interventional, minimally-invasive and invasive therapies are, due to the limited area of their application, less interfering than, for example, long-term medication, which also often comes with prolonged adverse effects that limit quality of life. We hypothesize minimal invasive pain treatment procedures, by interfering for a shorter period of time, have less impact on patient's self-empowerment.

Cognitive and physical impairment after application of minimal-invasive pain-treatments, like infiltrations and neuroablative procedures, are rare. In contrast, oral and transdermal opioid treatment for non-malignant pain must be questioned due to the consecutive danger of falling and potentially lethal risks such as sleep apnea and the risk of abuse¹⁵¹⁶¹⁷. However, many prescribing physicians and opinion-formers consider this therapy adequate. Guidelines, for example, do not generally exclude opioid prescription in excess of six months for non-malignant pain¹⁸.

Interventional pain-therapy procedures have a bad reputation, for example, in the German-speaking literature, and are thought to cause potentially chronic states compared with medication¹⁹. However, the exclusively temporary influence on the patient that is inherent in many diagnostic and therapeutic interventions is not sufficiently considered in addition to these shortcomings.

Following therapy: self-efficacy and mindfulness:

There is no doubt that the reduction of pain is ethically justified. In the best case of partial pain reduction, treatment of the mind and body can support patients in recognizing and accepting pain as such and the resulting suffering to a certain extent – as an expression of their being alive – enabling them to push pain from the focus of their attention. Methods that support the conviction of perceived self-efficacy are important aids in this process.

Perceived self-efficacy is trust in being able to master difficult situations with your own competence²⁰. Meta analyses have shown that an increase of this trust can reduce pain, for example, in arthritis and can reduce the fear caused by tumor pain²¹. Coping strategies and physical activity can also be improved²².

However, weak confidence in self-efficacy, as well as low social support, may lead to depression²³ – and thus, similar to increased fear avoidance – increased invalidity²⁴.

An active attempt can now be made to push the remaining pain – which should likely be accepted as "being part of oneself" – from the focus of attention. This can be done with techniques aligned with mindfulness.

Mindfulness-based techniques that are relevant in pain medicine are derived from meditative approaches, such as MBSR (Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction), MBCT (Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy) and Zen meditation.

The first study on the secular, non-esoteric mindfulness technique MBSR for chronic pain was published by Kabat-Zinn in 1982²⁵. Its efficacy in treating chronic pelvic pain²⁶, the adverse effects of HIV therapy²⁷, fibromyalgia²⁸ and anxiety²⁹ have since been proven.

Mindfulness-based techniques can reduce pain³⁰ and can be effective against depression³¹. Empathy-relevant areas in the brain show increased activity both for experienced pain and when perceiving the pain of others in functional imaging in persons experienced in meditation^{32 33}. Pain is perceived as less unpleasant as a consequence³⁴ and resources can be activated more successfully.

Meta-analyses show "limited evidence" for their effect on acceptance, "inconclusive evidence" for their pain-reducing effect³⁵ and "moderate evidence" for their efficacy in treating anxiety, depression and pain³⁶. The treatment effect can be increased by (self-)hypnosis³⁷.

Meditation and self-hypnosis can be considered non-invasive neuromodulation methods³⁸.

Mindfulness-based methods are intended to support meeting pain with understanding³⁹, rather than avoiding or rejecting it. Such access may also increase psychological flexibility⁴⁰, as analyses of pain-diary entries suggest⁴¹.

Mindfulness-based techniques lead to better results in groups than in individual therapy⁴². It must be noted that, to date, studies on mindfulness-based techniques have rarely been performed with an active control group⁴³.

Perspective:

Treatment expectations and hope for healing are important mechanisms in pain treatment. After treatment by an empathetic therapeutic team achieves some initial success, the further process relies on an active patient. A change of perspective may support patients in recovering their active role. In such a context pain is not only an expression and cause of suffering, but usually also a problem for the sufferer. While managing suffering is targeted at mitigation, addressing problems requires a solution. Such a change of perspective challenges the entire emotional and intellectual creativity of the suffering person – not only for "better handling of pain" or "good pain management", but also for a conscious life design that allots pain the space in life that it is due.

References

- ¹ Grüny C. Zerstörte Erfahrung. Würzburg: Königshausen und Neumann; 2004.
- ² Tanner J. Zur Kulturgeschichte des Schmerzes. In: Schönbächler G, ed. *Schmerz. Perspektiven auf eine menschliche Grunderfahrung*. Zürich: Chronos; 2007.
- ³ Lévinas E. Das sinnlose Leiden. In: *Zwischen uns. Versuche über das Denken an den Anderen*. München, Wien: Hanser; 1995.
- ⁴ Garro LC. Chronic illness and the construction of narratives. In: Del Vecchio Good MJ, ed. *Pain as a human experience*. Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press; 1994.
- ⁵ Wendell S. The rejected body. New York, London: Routledge; 1996.
- ⁶ Merleau-Ponty M. Phänomenologie der Wahrnehmung. Berlin: De Gruyter; 1966.
- ⁷ Good BJ. A body in pain – the making of a world of chronic pain. In: Del Vecchio Good MJ, ed. *Pain as human experience*. Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press; 1994.
- ⁸ Schönbächler G. Schmerzperspektiven. In: Schönbächler G, ed. *Schmerz. Perspektiven auf eine menschliche Grunderfahrung*. Zürich: Chronos; 2007.
- ⁹ Wittgenstein L. Philosophische Untersuchungen, Philosophical Investigations. Oxford: Blackwell; 1958.
- ¹⁰ Müller-Busch HC. Soziokulturelle Aspekte des Schmerzes. In: Bach M, Aigner M, Bankier B. eds. *Schmerzen ohne Ursache – Schmerzen ohne Ende*. Wien: Facultas; 2001.
- ¹¹ Grüny C. Zerstörte Erfahrung. Würzburg: Königshausen und Neumann; 2004.
- ¹² Scheler M. Der Formalismus in der Ethik und die materielle Wertethik. Bern: Francke; 1966.
- ¹³ Grüny C. Zerstörte Erfahrung. Würzburg: Königshausen und Neumann; 2004.
- ¹⁴ Hell D. Schmerz und Leiden – Körper und Seele. In: Schönbächler G, ed. *Schmerz. Perspektiven auf eine menschliche Grunderfahrung*. Zürich: Chronos; 2007.
- ¹⁵ Ping F, Wand Y, Wang J et al: Opioids increase hip fracture risk: a meta-analysis. *J Bone Miner Metab*. 2016 Mar 29.
- ¹⁶ Ray WA, Chung CP, Murray KT, Hall K, Stein CM. Prescription of long-acting opioids and mortality in patients with chronic noncancer pain. *JAMA* 2016 Jun14;315(22):22415-23.
- ¹⁷ Hartrick CT. Editorial note: The opioid epidemic: Root Cause analysis. *Pain Pract*. 2016;16:787
- ¹⁸ Häuser W, Bock F, Engeser P et al. Recommendations of the updated LONTS guidelines. Long-term opioid therapy for chronic noncancer pain. *Schmerz* 2015 Feb; 29(1):109-30.
- ¹⁹ Kerncurriculum Schmerztherapie für die Lehre für ein Querschnittsfach Schmerztherapie nach der neuen AO. DGSS. Available at: <http://www.dgss.org>. Accessed May 10, 2016.
- ²⁰ Bandura A: Self-efficacy. The exercise of control. New York: Freeman; 1997.
- ²¹ Mystakidou K, Tsilika E, Parpa E, Panagiotou I, Galanos A, Gouliamos A. Caregivers' anxiety and self-efficacy in palliative care. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2013 Mar; 22(2): 188-95.
- ²² Sperber N, Hall KS, Allen K, DeVellis BM, Lewis M, Callahan LF. The role of symptoms and self-efficacy in predicting physical activity change among older adults with arthritis. *J Phys Act Health* 2014 Mar; 11(3): 528-35.
- ²³ Pjanic I, Messerli-Bürky N, Bachmann MS, Siegenthaler F, Hoffmann- Richter U, Znoi H. Predictors of depressed mood 12 months after injury. Contribution of self-efficacy and social support. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2014;36(15): 1258-63.
- ²⁴ de Moraes Vieira EB, de Góes Salvetti M, Damiani LP, de Mattos Pimenta CA. Self-efficacy and fear avoidance beliefs in chronic low back pain patients: coexistence and associated factors. *Pain Manag Nurs*. 2014 Sep; 15(3): 593-602.
- ²⁵ Kabat-Zinn J. An outpatient program in behavioral medicine for chronic pain patients based on the practice of mindfulness meditation: theoretical considerations and preliminary results. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 1982 Apr;4(1):33-47.
- ²⁶ Fox SD, Flynn E, Allen RH. Mindfulness meditation for women with chronic pelvic pain: a pilot study. *J Reprod Med*. 2011 Mar-Apr; 56(3-4): 158-162.

-
- ²⁷ Duncan LG, Moskowitz JT, Neilands TB, Dilworth SE, Hecht FM, Johnson MO. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for HIV treatment side effects: a randomized, wait-list controlled trial. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2012 Feb; 43(2): 161-71.
- ²⁸ Kozasa EH, Tanaka LH, Monson C, Little S, Leao FC, Peres MP. The effects of meditation-based interventions on the treatment of fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2012 Oct; 16(5): 383-7.
- ²⁹ Marchand WR. Mindfulness-based stress reduction, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, and Zen meditation for depression, anxiety, pain, and psychological distress. *J Psychiatr Pract*. 2012 Jul; 18(4): 233-52.
- ³⁰ Reiner K, Tibi L, Lipsitz JD. Do mindfulness-based interventions reduce pain intensity? A critical review of the literature. *Pain Med*. 2013 Feb; 14(2): 230-42.
- ³¹ Marchand WR. Mindfulness-based stress reduction, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, and Zen meditation for depression, anxiety, pain, and psychological distress. *J Psychiatr Pract*. 2012 Jul; 18(4): 233-52.
- ³² Mascaro JS, Rilling JK, Negi LT, Raison CL. Pre-existing brain function predicts subsequent practice of mindfulness and compassion meditation. *Neuroimage*. 2013 Apr 1; 69: 35-42.
- ³³ Lutz A, McFarlin DR, Perlman DM, Salomons TV, Davidson RJ. Altered anterior insula activation during anticipation and experience of painful stimuli in expert meditators. *Neuroimage*. 2013 Jan 1; 64: 538-46.
- ³⁴ Wiedemann J, Gard T, Hölzel BK et. al. Pain attenuation through mindfulness is associated with decreased cognitive control and increased sensory processing in the brain. *Deutsche Zeitschrift für Akupunktur*. 2012; 55(2): 25-6.
- ³⁵ Cramer H, Haller H, Lauche R, Dobos G. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for low back pain. A systematic review. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2012 Sep 25; 12: 162.
- ³⁶ Goyal M, Singh S, Sibinga EM et. al. Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014 Mar; 174(3): 357-68.
- ³⁷ Donatone B. Focused suggestion with somatic anchoring technique: rapid self-hypnosis for pain management. *Am J Clin Hypn* 2013 Apr; 55(4): 325-42.
- ³⁸ Jensen MP, Day MA, Miró J. Neuromodulatory treatments for chronic pain: efficacy and mechanisms. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2014 Mar; 10(3): 167-78.
- ³⁹ Fabbro F, Crescentini C. Facing the experience of pain: a neuropsychological perspective. *Phys Life Rev*. 2014 Sep; 11(3): 540-52.
- ⁴⁰ McCracken LM, Velleman SC. Psychological flexibility in adults with chronic pain: a study of acceptance, mindfulness, and values-based action in primary care. *Pain* 2010 Jan; 148(1): 141-7.
- ⁴¹ Morone NE, Lynch CS, Greco CM, Tindle HA, Weiner DK. "I felt like a new person." the effects of mindfulness meditation on older adults with chronic pain: qualitative narrative analysis of diary entries. *J Pain* 2008 Sep; 9(9): 841-8.
- ⁴² Hassed C. Mind-body therapies – use in chronic pain management. *Aust Fam Physician* 2013 Mar; 42(3): 112-7.
- ⁴³ McCoon DG, Imel ZE, Rosenkranz MA et. al. The validation of an active control intervention for Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction (MBSR). *Behav Res Ther*. 2012 Jan; 50(1): 3-12.